## Governing Board Meeting <br> Tuesday, January 12, 2016 <br> 4:00 p.m.

25 Saddlehorn Rd. Sedona AZ 86351 PHONE: (928) 204-6500 FAX: (928) 284-9796

## BIG PARK COMMUNITY SCHOOL



Board Presentation
January 12, 2016

## GOOD NEWS!

- Technology upgrades: Computer lab, SMART Board projector replacement and color printer
- $1 / 5$ Sedona Chamber Music Society Concert for Youth
- PE classes and afterschool Running Club working with Sedona Chamber on the 5 Week 5K Challenge
- Spelling Bee January $20^{\text {th }}$

BPCS Research/Restructuring Timeline

| DATE |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| $12 / 1 / 15$ | Board Action- research and restructure |
| $12 / 8 / 15$ | Admin Team Meeting |
| $12 / 9 / 15$ | Staff Meeting |
| $12 / 10 / 15$ | 3-5 PLC Meeting |
| $12 / 17 / 15$ | Site Council Meeting |
| $1 / 5 / 16$ | Admin Team Meeting |
| $1 / / 16$ | RTI PLC Meeting |
| $1 / 12 / 16$ | School Board Meeting |
| $1 / 14 / 16$ | 3-5 PLC Meeting |
| $1 / 19 / 16$ | Middle School PLC Meeting |
| $1 / 21 / 16$ | Site Council Meeting |
| $1 / 26 / 16$ | Board/Admin Work Session |
| $1 / 27 / 16$ | Multiage PLC Meeting |
| $2 / 1 / 16$ | RTI PLC Meeting |
| $2 / 2 / 16$ | Admin Team Meeting |
| $2 / 2 / 16$ | Board Meeting |
| $2 / 3 / 16$ | Staff Meeting |
| $2 / 9 / 16$ | Admin Team Meeting |
| $2 / 11 / 16$ | 3-5 PLC Meeting |
| $2 / 16 / 16$ | Middle School PLC Meeting |
| $2 / 18 / 16$ | Site Council Meeting |
| $2 / 23 / 16$ | Board/Admin Work Session |
| $2 / 24 / 16$ | Multiage PLC Meeting |
| $3 / 1 / 16$ | Board Meeting |
| $3 / 2 / 16$ | Staff Meeting |
| $3 / 10 / 16$ | 3-5 PLC Meeting |
| $3 / 22 / 16$ | Board Meeting |
|  |  |

## Research*

- Grade 5 in Middle Schools
- Alternative Grade Configurations
- Middle Schools v K-8
- STEM Magnet Schools
- Effects of Class Size and School Size
*Various articles researched for each topic


## West Sedona School
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# WEST SEDONA SCHOOL ELEMENTARY• MIDDLE SCHOOL • MONTESSORI 

## Upcoming Events/Good News

- \$4,000 Diamondbacks Foundation Grant for ELL Students
- WSS robotics Team $2^{\text {nd }}, 3^{\text {rd }}$ and $7^{\text {th }}$ in region and 2 teams in top 20 during state comp @ASU
- Tuesday, January $12^{\text {th }}$ Title $1 / E L L$ Parent Night


## facebook



WSS Research Timeline

| DATE |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| $12 / 1 / 15$ | Board Action- research and restructure |
| $12 / 7 / 15$ | Site Council Meeting |
| $12 / 8 / 15$ | Admin Team Meeting |
| $12 / 18 / 15$ | PTA Meeting |
| $12 / 16 / 15$ | Staff Meeting |
| $1 / 2 / 15$ | Meeting with BP Principal |
| $1 / 5 / 16$ | Admin Team Meeting |
| $1 / 6 / 16$ | Staff Meeting |
| $1 / 11 / 16$ | Parent Information Night |
| $1 / 12 / 16$ | Title One Family Night |
| $1 / 12 / 16$ | School Board Meeting |
| $1 / 13 / 16$ | PLC Meeting |
| $1 / 15 / 16$ | PTA Meeting |
| $1 / 25 / 16$ | Site Council Meeting |
| $1 / 26 / 16$ | Board/Admin Work Session |
| $1 / 27 / 16$ | PLC Meeting |
| $2 / 2 / 16$ | Admin Team Meeting |
| $2 / 2 / 16$ | Board Meeting |
| $2 / 3 / 16$ | Staff Meeting/Leadership Meeting |
| $2 / 9 / 16$ | Admin Team Meeting |
| $2 / 10 / 16$ | PLC Meeting |
| $2 / 29 / 16$ | Site Council Meeting |
| $2 / 23 / 16$ | Board/Admin Work Session |
| $2 / 24 / 16$ | PLC Meeting |
| $3 / 1 / 16$ | Board Meeting |
| $3 / 2 / 16$ | Staff Meeting |
| $3 / 9 / 16$ | PLC Meeting |
| $3 / 10 / 16$ | PTA Meeting |
| $3 / 22 / 16$ | Board Meeting |
|  |  |

## Research

- Hamilton Project - Organizing Schools to improve student achievement: Start times, Grade Configurations, Teacher Assignments.
- Research on IB-3 year process
- Data- Classroom sizes, program consolidation between K-8' s
- PTO Today article- When Schools Merge

HAMILTON

## (3) SEDONA RED ROCK HIGH SCHOOL (3)



## Good News \& Events

- Dec 7 - NHS Inductions
- Dec 8 \& 10 - Senior Exhibition Presentations
- Dec 9 - SRRHS Winter Concert
- Dec 17 - Doc Adams Gymnasium Dedication
- Dec 18 - Toys for Tots Bicycle Giveaway
- Jan 4 - New Special Education Paraprofessional
- Jan 5-1 ${ }^{\text {st }}$ Soccer Game on the New Field
- Jan 6 - Taste of Chamber Music Performance for Students

Upcoming:

- Winter Sports
- Feb 6 - Sedona Marathon



## SRRHS

## EXHIBITIONS <br> 2015-2016

## CHANGES MADE FOR 2015-16

- No Exhibition class - each student worked individually with a sponsor
- Students could choose:
- a project with
- at least one written component
- a fully-detailed processfolio
- a written research paper
- topic of significance
- in-depth analysis and critical thinking must be evident
- 15-pages minimum


## CHANGES (cont.)

- Spring students would begin work in Fall, with extended due dates
- Exhibition would have a letter grade, based on a weighted combination of:
- $60 \%$ product (research paper or project)
- $20 \%$ presentation quality
- $20 \%$ meeting deadlines
- Product and presentation grades to be determined by judges; deadlines to be tracked by sponsors
- Overall Exhibition process to be supervised by coordinator


## FIRST

## SEMESTER

RECAP

## SPRING 2015 TIMELINE

## April 292015

- Presentation to staff on new model
- Format (project/paper)
- Process (no class, faculty and student responsibilities)


## May 42015

- Presentation to juniors on new model
- Format (project/paper)
- Process (no class, faculty and student responsibilities)

May 182015

- Tentative proposals from juniors due to Mrs. Romm


## FALL 2015 TIMELINE

## August 2015

- $3^{\text {rd }}$ Presentation to staff:
- New Exhibition format (project/paper)
- Sponsor responsibilities
- Helping students write proposals
- $4^{\text {th }}$ Presentation to seniors/parents at Back-to-School Night
- $10^{\text {th }}$ Presentation to senior class meeting:
- New Exhibition format (project/paper)
- Student responsibilities
- Writing a formal proposal
- Major due dates
- $21^{\text {st }}$ Proposal due to Mrs. Romm, signed by student and sponsor
- Week of $24^{\text {th }}$ Mrs. Romm \& Exhibition Committee worked with students to finalize and sign off proposals


## FALL 2015 TIMELINE (cont.)

## September 2015

- $2^{\text {nd }}$ Interim due dates provided to sponsors and seniors
- Draft and completed outlines
- Research paper drafts for edits
- Project stages of completion
- Project written work drafts
- Final research paper
- Final project processfolio
- Presentation night


## FALL 2015 TIMELINE (cont.)

## September 2015 (cont.)

- $14^{\text {th }}$ Letter to parents of Fall presenters mailed home
- Description of Exhibition
- Explanation of process, including list of interim due dates
- Evaluation rubric
- What happens if student fails part of the Exhibition
- Specifics for bringing work up to passing standard
- Due date of Jan 15, 2016 for completing all work to judges' satisfaction
- If student does not meet Jan 15 deadline, student will not walk in graduation ceremony
(Student will still receive diploma if work is completed by Spring final deadline, but will not walk in the ceremony)


## FALL 2015 TIMELINE (cont.)

## September, October, November 2015

- Students working with sponsor "scaffolding"
- Phone calls to parents made as necessary
- Certified letters sent to parents of procrastinating students


## FALL 2015 TIMELINE (cont.)

## November

- $9^{\text {th }}-20^{\text {th }}$ Training sessions (9) for community judges
- $16^{\text {th }}$ Final due date for:
- Research paper
- Project processfolio and written components
- $18^{\text {th }}$ Training session for staff judges
- 20h Training session for seniors
- Process of Exhibition presentation
- Tips on how to present successfully
- Discussion of procedure to be followed if work is not satisfactory
- Week of Nov $30^{\text {th }}$ Work made available to judges
- Dec $8^{\text {th }}$ and $10^{\text {th }}$ PRESENTATIONS!


## RESULTS



## THINGS THAT WORKED

- Project option (Students REALLY liked this - and so did community judges!)
- Increased length of research papers
- Leaving specific material to be covered in the presentation up to the student and sponsor to determine during the process of working on the Exhibition, rather than locking it into the proposal
- Frequent student/sponsor meetings
- More independence on the student's part


## IMPROVEMENTS FOR SPRING

- Tracking form and student/parent contact form
- Revised deadlines
- Trainings for staff:
- Using a plagiarism checker
- Checking sources for validity/credibility
- Using EasyBib or other citation-generating software
- Editing for content
- Editing for organization
- Form a group of staff skilled in editing to serve as "fresh sets of eyes"
- Students will present to their sponsors and to one or more of the sponsor's classes as well


## GRADING PROCESS: CONCERNS

- Community judges are not trained to give specific grades
- Subjectivity of grading leads to variations between grades given by different judges
- Exhibition letter grades could change class rankings, especially at the top - for students with weighted GPAs over 4.0, an A+ would actually bring their GPAs down


## GRADING PROCESS: REVISIONS

- Grading process will become similar to previous years:
- Letter grade worth $\frac{1}{4}$ credit based on meeting deadlines (no subjectivity involved)
- Sponsors will input grades for meeting interim and final deadlines into PowerSchool
- Pass/Fail worth $\frac{1}{4}$ credit for combination of Exhibition product (paper/project) and presentation
- Exhibition Coordinator will input Pass/Fail grade into PowerSchool after presentation night
- Students can have a Fail changed to a Pass if they complete required improvements by the final deadline
- Fall presenters will have their grades adjusted accordingly


## FUTURE CONCERNS/ISSUES

- The Exhibition Committee will meet this month to begin discussing concerns for future years, including:
- Students writing research papers in grades 9-11 to develop skills in researching and writing research papers before senior year
- More detailed and specific responsibilities for sponsors
- Holding sponsors accountable for their responsibilities
- Scheduling of presentation nights


## FINAL

## CONCLUSION

## We raised our

## expectations - and

our students rose to the occasion!!!

## Research/Restructure Timeline

- October 21, 2015 - Site Council
- November 12, 2015 - Site Admin Team Meeting (Karuzas, Parks, DeWitt, Guidance)
- November 16, 2015 - Red Rock Academy Meeting (Karuzas, Parks, DeWitt, Bell, Guidance)
- November 18, 2015 - Staff Meeting
- November 18, 2015 - Site Council
- December 1, 2015 - Board Action: Research and restructure
- December 3, 2015 - Leadership Team Meeting
- December 8, 2015 -District Admin Team (all administrators)
- December 10, 2015 - Leadership Team Meeting
- December 16, 2015 - Meeting (Karuzas, Parks, DeWitt)
- December 17, 2015 - Site Council
- December 17, 2015 - Site Admin Team Meeting (Karuzas, Parks, DeWitt, Guidance)


## Research/Restructure Timeline

- January 5, 2016 - District Admin Meeting
- January 6, 2016 - Staff Meeting
- January 7, 2016 - Leadership Team Meeting
- January 12, 2016 - SOCUSD board meeting: research presented
- January 13, 2016 - Staff Meeting
- January 14, 2016 - Leadership Team Meeting
- January 26, 2016-SOCUSD board/admin work session
- Jan. 27 - February 1, 2016 - Continued research, reflection, \& planning
- February 2, 2016 - District Admin Meeting
- February 2, 2016 - SOCUSD board meeting 4:00 p.m.


## Research/Restructure Timeline

- With the goal of maintaining programs and educational opportunities for students, the staff and leadership team at SRRHS have been researching:
- Multiple Modified Block Schedules
- Traditional 6 Period Day
- Addressing CTE funding cut concerns
- Marketing and promoting our schools


# Board Meeting <br> January $12^{\text {th }}, 2016$ 

for a
Community Involvement Process Sedona-Oak Creek School District's "Current Realities"

```
WHO: Everyone is invited
WHAT: Community Forum
WHERE: Sedona Performing Arts Center (SPAC)
WHEN:
WHY:
HOW:
Everyone is invited
WHAT:
WHERE:
Sedona Performing Arts Center (SPAC)
WHEN:
Thursday, October 15, 2015-5:30 to 7:30 p.m.
WHY:
Discuss current realities in AZ Public Schools and SOCUSD\#9
Facilitated by the AZ School Board Association (ASBA)
```

For more information contact the Superintendent of the Sedona-Oak Creek
Unified School District, Mr. David Lykins, at 928-204-6800.
Together We Can


## Sedona-Oak Creek JUSD \#9 <br> Community Round Table

Saturday, November 7, 2015, 9:00 a.m. to 1:00 p.m.
Hilton Sedona Resort, Ballroom
90 Ridge Trail Drive
Sedona, Arizona 86351
GOAL STATEMENT FOR THE ROUND TABLE:
To provide registered attendees the opportunity to brainstorm and prioritize meaningful and supportive options, through table dialog and data analysis, to assist the Governing Board in addressing the district's current reality of declining enrollment.
AGENDA

| ACTIVITY RESPONSIBLE PARTY |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $830-900$ | Registration/ Check-in |  |
| $900-915$ | Welcome | Attendees |
| $915-1015$ | Discuss Options to Address Current Reality | Supt/ASBA |
| $1015-1100$ | Report Outs (3" per table) \& Morning Wrap-Up | Table Activity \#1 |
| $1100-1115$ | BREAK | ALL |
| $1115-1130$ | Big Picture ReFocus/Patterns | Supt/ASBA |
| $1130-1230$ | Prioritize Top Solution \& \#2 Idea | Table Activity \#2 |
| $1230-1250$ | Report Out \& Gallery Walk | ALL |
| $1250-100$ | Wrap Up/Next Steps | Supt/ASBA |
| $100 ~ p m ~$ | CONCLUDES |  |

Welcome and thank you for your attendance today. Over the next four hours, all registered participants will be involved in the problem-solving process for the Sedona-Oak Creek Joint Unified School District. Outcomes generated through today's process will help guide the SOCUSD Governing Board Members, who are present at this Round Table, in their efforts to provide high quality programs, excellent service, and rigorous instruction to our students.

Together We Can

## 10 year ADM trends

|  | $05 / 06$ | $06 / 07$ | $07 / 08$ | $08 / 09$ | $09 / 10$ | $10 / 11$ | $11 / 12$ | $12 / 13$ | $13 / 14$ | $14 / 15$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| k.8 | 929 | 907 | 885 | 806 | 759 | 757 | 762 | 738 | 688 | 668 |
| 9.12 | 509 | 526 | 489 | 500 | 488 | 450 | 493 | 490 | 472 | 474 |
| total | 1438 | 1433 | 1374 | 1306 | 1247 | 1217 | 1255 | 1228 | 1160 | 1142 |

$\$ 9.2$ million $\qquad$

BIRTHS BY COUNTY OF RESIDENCE, ARIZONA, 2003-2013

|  | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ARIZONA ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 90,783 | 93,396 | 95,798 | 102,042 | 102,687 | 99,215 | 92,616 | 87,053 | 85,190 | 85,725 | 84,963 |
| Apache | 1,250 | 1,336 | 1,283 | 1,189 | 1,149 | 1,211 | 1,242 | 1,099 | 1,071 | 930 | 951 |
| Cochise | 1,756 | 1,810 | 1,769 | 1,808 | 1,860 | 1,781 | 1,846 | 1,781 | 1,664 | 1,704 | 1,607 |
| Coconino | 1,920 | 2,027 | 2,070 | 2,062 | 2,132 | 1,985 | 1,894 | 1,775 | 1,771 | 1,689 | 1,625 |
| Gila | 692 | 668 | 649 | 667 | 694 | 697 | 704 | 670 | 620 | 609 | 590 |
| Graham | 434 | 451 | 452 | 540 | 582 | 644 | 645 | 530 | 606 | 525 | 600 |
| Greenlee | 87 | 102 | 99 | 110 | 138 | 131 | 130 | 105 | 119 | 114 | 125 |
| La Paz | 216 | 230 | 245 | 229 | 230 | 246 | 174 | 200 | 172 | 204 | 204 |
| Maricopa | 59,000 | 60,535 | 62,232 | 66,160 | 65,931 | 62,667 | 57,663 | 54,236 | 53,361 | 54,475 | 53,848 |
| Mohave | 2,135 | 2,191 | 2,237 | 2,468 | 2,439 | 2,301 | 2,220 | 2,022 | 1,962 | 1,736 | 1,742 |
| Navajo | 1,716 | 1,789 | 1,903 | 1,877 | 2,012 | 1,944 | 1,893 | 1,737 | 1,642 | 1,633 | 1,554 |
| Pima | 12,799 | 13,030 | 12,976 | 13,929 | 13,798 | 13,503 | 12,840 | 12,169 | 11,874 | 11,876 | 11,965 |
| Pinal | 2,919 | 3,068 | 3,641 | 4,467 | 5,285 | 5,731 | 5,309 | 4,990 | 4,607 | 4,656 | 4,564 |
| Santa Cruz | 792 | 808 | 781 | 753 | 766 | 796 | 761 | 693 | 694 | 671 | 652 |
| Yavapai | 1,850 | 1,997 | 2,115 | 2,380 | 2,411 | 2,216 | 2,061 | 1,817 | 1,829 | 1,782 | 1,820 |
| Yuma | 3,187 | 3,318 | 3,292 | 3,354 | 3,252 | 3,362 | 3,234 | 3,229 | 3,198 | 3,121 | 3,116 |

source: AZ
Dept. of
Health

| 2010-2011 October Enrollment by County and Grade |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| County | PS | KG |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | UE | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 Total |  |
| Yavapai |  | 237 | 2051 | 2088 | 2085 | 2087 | 2080 | 2080 | 2097 | 2225 | 2161 | 3 | 2168 | 2087 | 2012 | 1819 | 27280 |
| Arizona |  | 8600 | 82930 | 84476 | 83546 | 82485 | 82862 | 82690 | 82306 | 81446 | 80638 | 151 | 82307 | 79846 | 76276 | 81328 | 1071887 |


| 2011-2012 October Enrollment by County and Grade |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| County | PS | KG | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | UE | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total |
| Yavapai | 303 | 2140 | 2173 | 2083 | 2089 | 2088 | 2071 | 2092 | 2081 | 2161 |  | 2113 | 2114 | 1934 | 1985 | 27427 |
| Arizona | 16608 | 84447 | 85488 | 83727 | 83481 | 82451 | 83107 | 82809 | 82629 | 80956 | 98 | 81319 | 80102 | 76018 | 80108 | 1083348 |


| County | PS | KG | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | UE | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yavapai | 331 | 2131 | 2163 | 2072 | 2030 | 2029 | 2068 | 2095 | 2088 | 2040 | 4 | 2147 | 2032 | 1988 | 1969 | 27187 |
| Arizona | 18337 | 86356 | 86411 | 84637 | 83656 | 83638 | 82838 | 83222 | 83230 | 82429 | 156 | 81973 | 79814 | 77643 | 81700 | 1096040 |


| 2013-2014 October Enrollment by County and Grade |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| County | PS | KG | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | UE | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total |
| Yavapai | 274 | 1953 | 2121 | 2047 | 1980 | 1971 | 1992 | 2062 | 2037 | 1992 | 1960 | * | 2015 | 1916 | 1947 | 26267 |
| Arizona | 18043 | 85760 | 88024 | 85291 | 84492 | 83615 | 83822 | 82823 | 83785 | 83289 | 82977 | 157 | 80349 | 76587 | 83305 | 1102319 |

2014-2015 October Enrollment by County and Grade

| County | PS | KG | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | UE | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yavapai | * | 1888 | 2068 | 2073 | 2046 | 1960 | 1988 | 2015 | 2074 | 2039 | * | 1999 | 1929 | 1912 | 1975 | 26250 |
| Arizona | 17442 | 83102 | 88236 | 88022 | 86269 | 85261 | 84894 | 84705 | 84159 | 84260 | 182 | 84721 | 82699 | 78439 | 83752 | 1116143 |


| -163 | -20 | -12 | -41 | -120 | -92 | -82 | -151 | -122 | -169 | -158 | -100 | 156 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Source: AZ Dept. of Education


## Figure 1

## Per-student Spending Has Decreased In Most States Since 2008

```
Percent change in spending per student, inflation-adjusted, FY08 to FY13
-21.8% Arizona
-21.7% Arizona
    -20.3% Oklahoma
    -19.0% = Idaho
        -18.0% South Carolina
        -17.39 = California*
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                    -10.0%% Virginia
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                    -8.8% \.89 Maine
                    -8.8% = Michigan
                    -8.1% \square Utah
                    -7.9% = Otah
                    -7.9% -7.49% Ohio 
                    -5.3% Arkansas
                            -3.9% Nevada
                            -2.8% Washington
                    -2.3% Missouri
                    1.3% W Vermont
                    -1.2% [ Pennsylvania
                    -1.1% E Nebraska
                    -1.0%6 = Louisiana
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                    -0.8% = New lersey
                    0.1%6 I New York
                    Tennessee m0.9%
                    Delaware =0.9%
                    Montana =1.0%
                    West Virginia =1.4%
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                    Wyoming = 5.19
                            Connecticut = 6.1%
                                    Massachusetts 
                                    Alaska = 6.7%
                                    Maryland = 7.4%
                                    lowa ■ 10.6%
                                    North Dakota28.296
```

California data are based on the 2012-13 state budget as enacted. This enacted budget incluckes anticipated revenues from Proposition 30 , a measure that will appear on the Nowember 2012 statewide balkot.


## Current Reality

## 40th day ADM

|  | 2014-15 |  |  | 2015-16 |  |  | , +/- |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ADM | grp A weights | Total | ADM | grp A weights | Total |  |
| SRRHS | 474 | $\times 1.409$ | 667.866 | 442 | $\times 1.409$ | 622.778 | -45.088 |
| WSS | 353 | x 1.158 | 408.774 | 362 | $\times 1.158$ | 419.196 | 10.422 |
| BP | 315 | $\times 1.158$ | 364.77 | 257 | x 1.158 | 297.606 | -67.164 |
| Total | 1142 |  | 1441.41 | 1061 |  | 1339.58 | -101.83 |
|  |  |  | BP | WSS | Total |  |  |
|  |  | KG | 22 | 49 | 71 |  |  |
|  |  | 1 | 29 | 52 | 81 |  |  |
|  |  | 2 | 39 | 50 | 89 |  |  |
|  |  | 3 | 35 | 54 | 89 |  |  |
|  |  | 4 | 38 | 39 | 77 |  |  |
|  |  | 5 | 37 | 31 | 68 |  |  |
|  |  | 6 | 22 | 35 | 57 |  |  |
|  |  | 7 | 23 | 40 | 63 |  |  |
|  |  | 8 | 27 | 38 | 65 |  |  |


| 15/16sy |  | 16/17sy |  | 17/18sy |  | 18/19sy |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SRRHS |  | SRRHS |  | SRRHS |  | SRRHS |  |
| 9th = | 103 | 9th = | 65 | 9th $=$ | 63 | 9th = | 57 |
| 10th = | 125 | 10th $=$ | 103 | 10th $=$ | 65 | 10th = | 63 |
| 11th = | 112 | 11th $=$ | 125 | 11th $=$ | 103 | 11th = | 65 |
| 12th = | 91 | 12th = | 112 | 12th = | 125 | 12th = | 103 |
| Total= | 431 | Total= | 405 | Total= | 356 | Total= | 288 |
|  |  | Charter | 13 | Charter | 12 | Charter | 19 |
|  |  | Total | 418 | Total | 368 | Total | 307 |

## What does this all mean in Dollars???

$>$ Current year $40^{\text {th }}$ day weighted student counts loss of -101.83 students
$\mathrm{x} \$ 4600$ per weighted student $=$
Loss of $\$ 468,418$ in 16/17sy
$>$ Continued Sedona Red Rock High School ADM loss over next 4 years loss of -127 students

X 1.409 weighted factor
X \$4600 per weighted student =
Loss of \$823,138
Note: a portion of this amount will be lost in $16 / 17$ sy as well, due to change to current year funding
$>$ Estimated Total Impact to M\&O Budget Capacity

$$
\$ 1,291,556
$$

## Current Year Funding?

- The State legislature has approved a change in the way public schools are funded to begin next year 16/17sy
- The change is from prior year ADM funding to current year / live ADM funding.


## What does that mean?

- Prior year funding gave district's time to plan for increases/decreases in budget limits due to ADM changes year to year
- Current year funding means that District's will be planning for programs and staffing for the upcoming school year without knowing their final budget amounts until the year is already more than half over (100 days)


## VISION

Sedona Oalz Creelk School District promotes

$$
\begin{gathered}
\checkmark \text { Relationships } \\
\checkmark \text { Relevance } \\
\checkmark \text { Rigor } \\
\checkmark \text { Results }
\end{gathered}
$$

in a unified effort to provide all students a solid foundation in academic, social, and worlkplace skills

## Focus

-What' s best for our students and future students-

- Quality programs in all schools
- Attractive / Inviting schools
- Advance ED recommendations -
- A formal standards based curriculum throughout the system.
- That ensures equitable and challenging experiences for all students.
- Continue to seek solutions that meet our vision as a unified district.


# Restructure/ Research Scenarios 

## School Closure

Possible Restructure Option
School Closes

|  | Current year | Year 1 | Year 2 | Year 3 |  | SAVINGS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| k-2 avg class size $=25$ | 10 | 8.5 | 8 | 8 | -2 | \$96 |
| 3-4 avg class size $=28$ | 7.16 | 6 | 6 | 5 | -2.16 | \$103.68 |
| 5-8 avg class size $=30$ | 10.83 | 9 | 9.5 | 10.5 | -0.33 | \$15.84 |
| Library | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | -1 | \$48 |
| PE | 1.5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | -0.5 | \$24 |
| Art | 1.5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | -0.5 | \$24 |
| Music | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Counselor | 1.8 | 1 | 1 | 1 | -0.8 | \$38.40 |
| Secretary | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 | -2 | \$44 |
| Health Aide | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | \$0 |
| Custodian | 4.5 | 3 | 3 | 3 | -1.5 | \$33 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Principal | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | -1 | \$86 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Federal Funded Programs |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ELL | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 0 |  |
| ELL aides | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 0 |  |
| Title 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 |  |
| Title 1 aides | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 0 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total positions | 55.29 | 43.5 | 43.5 | 43.5 | -11.79 | \$512.92 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total savings |  |  | \$523,920 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Additional Savings Items

- Building / Utility costs?
- Transportation costs ?
- Rental revenue?


# Current Model-K-8, K-8, 9-12 Campuses 

| Current Model |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Big Park Community School |  |  |  |  |  | SAVINGS |
|  | Current year | Year 1 | Year 2 | Year 3 |  |  |
| k-2 avg class size $=25$ | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | -1 | \$48 |
| 3-5 avg class size $=28$ | 5 | 4 | 3.5 | 3 | -2 | \$96 |
| $6-8$ avg class size $=30$ | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3.5 | -0.5 | \$24 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Library | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| PE | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Art | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Music | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| **specials allocation- principals discretion |  | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | -1.5 | \$72 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Counselor | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | -0.3 | \$14.40 |
| Secretary | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | -1 | \$22 |
| Health Aide | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -0.5 | \$11 |
| Custodian | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | -1 | \$22 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Principal | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Federal Funded Programs |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ELL | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |
| ELL aides | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0 |  |
| Title 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |
| Title 1 aides | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total positions | 25.8 | 18.5 | 18 | 18 | -7.8 | 309.4 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total savings |  |  | \$309,400 |  |  |  |

## Current Model



## Current Model

| Sedona RedRock HS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| class size 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Current year | Year 1 | Year 2 | Year 3 |  | SAVINGS |
| projected enrollment | 432 | 418 | 368 | 307 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Teachers | 22 | 19 | 17 | 14 | -8 | \$384.00 |
| ** CTE program cuts-legislatively decided |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Library | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | -0.3 | \$14.40 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Counselor | 1.8 | 1 | 1 | 1 | -0.8 | \$38.40 |
| Secretaries | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | -1 | \$22 |
| Registrar | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |
| Health Aide | 0.725 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | -0.225 | \$4.95 |
| Custodian | 3.125 | 2.625 | 2.625 | 2.625 | -0.5 | \$11 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Principal | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |
| Assistant Principal | 1 | 1 | 0.5 | 0 | -1 | \$48 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total positions | 34.45 | 28.625 | 26.125 | 22.625 | -11.825 | \$522.75 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total savings |  |  | \$522,750 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Questions?

## K-6, K-6, 7-12 campuses

k-6 option

| Big Park Community School |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Current year | Year 1 | Year 2 | Year 3 |  | SAVINGS |
| projected enrollment |  | 223 | 207 | 193 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| k-2 avg class size $=25$ | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | -1 | \$48 |
| 3-6 avg class size $=28$ | 6 | 5.5 | 5 | 4.5 | -1.5 | \$72 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7-8 grade- move to HS campus | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -3 | \$144 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Library | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| PE | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Art | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Music | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| **specials allocation- principals | discretion | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | -1.5 | \$72 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Counselor | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | -0.3 | \$14.40 |
| Secretary | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | -1 | \$22 |
| Health Aide | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -0.5 | \$11 |
| Custodian | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | -1 | \$22 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Z |  |
| Principal | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $1 \sqrt{0}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Federal Funded Programs |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ELL | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |
| ELL aides | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0 |  |
| Title 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |
| Title 1 aides | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total positions | 25.8 | 17 | 16.5 | 16 | -9.8 | \$405.40 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total savings |  |  | \$405,400 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

K-6 Option

## West Sedona School

|  | Current year | Year 1 | Year 2 | Year 3 |  | SAVINGS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| projected enrollment |  | 313 | 330 | 337 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| k-2 avg class size $=25$ | 6 | 6 | 5.5 | 5.5 | -0.5 | \$24 |
| 3-6 avg class size $=28$ | 6 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 1 | (\$48.00) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7-8 grade moved to HS campus | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -3 | \$144.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Computers | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| PE | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Art | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Music | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| **specials allocation- principals | discretion | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | -1.5 | \$72.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Counselor | 1 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | -0.5 | \$24 |
| Secretary | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | -1 | \$22 |
| Health Aide | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -0.5 | \$11 |
| Classroom Aide | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1 | \$22 |
| Custodian | 2.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | -1 | \$22 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Principal | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Federal Funded Programs |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ELL | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0 |  |
| ELL aides | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |
| Title 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |
| Title 1 aides | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total positions | 30.5 | 22 | 22.5 | 22.5 | -8 | \$293.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total savings |  |  | \$293,000 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## 7-12 Campus

| Sedona RedRock HS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| class size 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Current year | Year 1 | Year 2 | Year 3 |  | SAVINGS |
| projected enrollment | 432 | 538 | 493 | 451 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Teachers | 22 | 24 | 22 | 20 | -2 | \$96.00 |
| ** CTE program cuts-legislatively decided |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Library | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | -0.3 | \$14.40 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Counselor | 1.8 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | -0.3 | \$14.40 |
| Secretaries | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | -1 | \$22 |
| Registrar | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |
| Health Aide | 0.725 | 0.725 | 0.725 | 0.725 | 0 |  |
| Custodian | 3.125 | 3.125 | 3.125 | 3.125 | 0 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Principal | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |
| Assistant Principal | 1 | 1 | 0.5 | 0.5 | -0.5 | \$24 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total positions | 34.45 | 34.85 | 32.35 | 30.35 | -4.1 | \$170.80 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total savings |  |  | \$170,800 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## K-4, 5-8, 9-12 Campuses

## Possible Restructure Option

K-4 \& 5-8 CAMPUSES


## Additional Savings Items

MS sports/Club salaries
MS sports transportation
Unduplicated program savings

| Current Model |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sedona RedRock HS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| class size 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Current year | Year 1 | Year 2 | Year 3 |  | SAVINGS |
| projected enrollment | 432 | 418 | 368 | 307 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Teachers | 22 | 19 | 17 | 14 | -8 | \$384.00 |
| ** CTE program cuts-legislatively decided |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Library | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | -0.3 | \$14.40 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Counselor | 1.8 | 1 | 1 | 1 | -0.8 | \$38.40 |
| Secretaries | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | -1 | \$22 |
| Registrar | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |
| Health Aide | 0.725 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | -0.225 | \$4.95 |
| Custodian | 3.125 | 2.625 | 2.625 | 2.625 | -0.5 | \$11 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Principal | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |
| Assistant Principal | 1 | 1 | 0.5 | 0 | -1 | \$48 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total positions | 34.45 | 28.625 | 26.125 | 22.625 | -11.825 | \$522.75 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total savings |  |  | \$522,750 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Questions?

# K-5, k-8, 9-12 Campuses Transition Option 




## transition option



## Additional Savings Items

- Building / Utility costs?
- Transportation costs ?
- Rental revenue?


## Questions?

## District Wide Support Services

| District Wide |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Student/Support Services |  |  |
|  | Current year | SAVINGS |
|  |  |  |
| Gifted Teacher | 0.55 |  |
| Translator | 1 |  |
| computer techs | 2 |  |
| Sub Caller | 0.5 |  |
| SRO | 0.5 | 40 |
| Dir Special Education | 0.6 |  |
| Dir Instruction / ed services | 1 |  |
|  |  |  |
| Superintendent | 1 |  |
| Brd/Superintendent Secretary | 1 |  |
| Finance Director | 1 |  |
| Business Office staff | 3.2 |  |
| IT Director - vacant | 0.48 |  |
| Facilities Dir | 1 |  |
| Facility Use Coord | 0.48 |  |
| Grounds/maint. DW | 3 |  |
| Total positions | 17.31 | 40 |
|  |  |  |
| Other Savings/Restructure |  |  |
| **Transportation services |  | ??? |
| **Administrative models |  | ??? |
|  |  |  |

District wide services/ Administrative costs will be reduced at the same rate as school cuts.

## SPED

Financial Impact -
-Due to medical technology more students with significant disabilities are entering our schools today.
-3 out of 3 infants survive when in the past only 1 of 3 survived.

Projected new students-

- Without a district preschool it is hard to project what new KGs may enroll with significant needs. We do know of 2 students who will be enrolling for the upcoming school year.


## SPED

Liability Concerns

- With these increased medical needs- there needs to be a position on campus to help with tube feedings, suctioning, catheterization, etc.

Academic Concerns

- A single special education teacher to cover 9 grade levels at a k-8 campus is not what's best for kids. Best practice is to have one special education teacher for every 2 grade levels at the elementary level.


## Programs

- Title 1 Reading / K-3 Reading
- Title 1 Math?
- ELL program
- Remediation


## Recap \$\$\$

- School Closure
$=\$ 1,046,670$
- Plus additional building operation savings
- Current Model
$=\$ 1,029,150$
- K-6, K-6, 7-12 campuses
$=\$ 869,200$
- K-4, 5-8, 9-12 Campuses
$=\$ 1,008,670$
- K-5, k-8, 9-12 Transition Option
- Plus additional building operation savings
- Distric† Wide Services

$$
=\$
$$

## Problem Statement

- The state provides additional monies via increased student weighting factors, for those districts with a student population of less than 600 students in k-8, 9-12.
- These additional weighting factors are in consideration of the cost to administer, provide support services (counselors, nurse, specials/ electives, etc.) to a smaller student population.
- We are currently operating 3 small schools, (under 600 students) without the additional weights for the elementary students.


## Let' s Not Lose Sight

- Salaries \& Benefits!!!
- Running parallel as we move through this process.
- Admin team is looking at how our employees get more money in their pockets via salaries and benefits.
- Prop 123 - If passes, starting teacher pay >\$30K. - $10 \%$ increase in starting teacher pay
"The secret of change is to focus all of your energy not on fighting to keep the old, but rather on building the new."
D. Lykins


## SOCUSD Research/Restructure Timeline

| DATE | ACTION |
| :---: | :---: |
| December 1, 2015 | Board Action - research and restructure |
| December 2-7,2015 | Superintendent, Finance Director, Transportation Director |
| December 8, 2015 | Admin team (all administrators) |
| December 9-18, 2015 | Continued research - (ASBA Dec. 9-11) Superintendent network |
| Dec. 18 - January 3, 2016 | Homework (research \& evidence) |
| January 5, 2016 | Admin meeting 9:00-11:00 a.m. |
| January 5-11, 2016 | Individual site admin meetings with superintendent and finance |
| January 12, 2016 | SOCUSD board meeting - research presented |
| January 26, 2016 | SOCUSD board/admin work session - 4:00-5:30 p.m. |
| Jan. 27 - February 1, 2016 | Continued research, reflection, \& planning |
| February 2, 2016 | Admin meeting 9:00 to 10:30 a.m. |
| February 2, 2016 | SOCUSD board meeting 4:00 p.m. |
| February 9, 2014 | Admin meeting 9:00 to 10:30 a.m. |
| February 23, 2016 | SOCUSD board/admin work session - 4:00-5:30 p.m. |
| March 1, 2016 | SOCUSD board meeting 4:00 p.m. |
| March 22, 2016 | SOCUSD board meeting 4:00 p.m. |
| April 5, 2016 | SOCUSD board meeting 4:00 p.m. Approve issuing contracts |
| April 11, 2016 | Contracts issued at 8:00 a.m. |
| April 29, 2016 | Contracts due back in DO by 4:00 p.m. |
| May 3, 2016 | SOCUSD board meeting 4:00 p.m. Approve contracts |
| June 7, 2016 | SOCUSD board meeting 4:00 p.m. |
| June 21, 2016 | SOCUSD board meeting 4:00 p.m. Propose 15/16 budget |
| July 12, 2016 | SOCUSD board meeting 4:00 p.m. Adopt 15/16 budget |

## Timeline- Finance Director

Dec $3^{\text {rd- Met with Transportation director to discuss possible savings areas }}$
Dec 7- Met with Superintendent- prelim info, class sizes, potential list of research items, timelines

Dec 7- Met with Heinfeld and Meech possibility of conducting a salary and benefit comparison for the district with peer groups both rural / metro and private sector

Dec 8- Admin meeting - possible scenarios
Dec 9- Webinar for online registration / fee software. Possible savings.
Dec 10- Staples advantage meeting. Additional savings on our supply orders?
Dec 11- Begin cost analysis and project research based on current model and potential new models discussed with admin.

Dec $28 \& 29^{\text {th }}-$ Draft cost analysis sent to Superintendent for feedback
Jan $2^{\text {nd }}-$ Met with Superintendent to discuss drafts and prepare for admin meeting and further research discussions

Jan $5^{\text {th }}$ - Admin team meeting
Jan $6^{\text {th }}$ - Revise scenarios based on admin feedback
Jan $7^{\text {th }}$ - Met with Superintendent to review/revise scenarios
Jan $8^{\text {th }}$ - Met with Transportation Director to review potential savings models
Jan $8^{\text {th }}$ - Online registration/fee collection system-webinar \& pricing.
Jan $11^{\text {th }}$ - Met with Superintendent to review/revise scenarios
Jan $12^{\text {th }}-$ Admin meeting
Jan12th- Board Meeting

## Research Items

## Class sizes

Current k-8 models
Current HS model

New k-8 models
New HS models

## Program areas/potential savings

half day vs full day kg
4 day week
SRO
Title 1
ELL
k-3 reading
Facility usage / rentals

## Transportation items

neighboring schools route times
our current route times
school boundaries, even out attendance at k-8
mid day routes for half day kg
mid day route for half day kg if all at one site

Staggered start times with current k-8
staggered start times with new models

Trips/athletic savings
bus trade in? resale?

RFP vs in house savings

## Staffing / departments reorganization

administration
district office
specials
front office
translator
aides
SRO
counseling
Sub caller/ software
Online registration tools

How do we get more money in our employees pockets?

Salary schedule research/comparisons
starting salary targets?
Inflation funding
Reduce benefit costs
Increase retention- ESI?

## Questions?




Educators \& Test Administrators

Educator \& Test Administrator Resources


Test
Coordinators


Administer
Sample Tests


Online Reporting System $\theta$


## AzMERIT Family Report Guide


(4) Barrel Chart

This "barrel chart" shows the range of scores and the four performance levels possible on this test. Your student's numeric score is shown along with the averages for your student's school, district, and the state of Arizona.

## (2) Performance Levels

Your student's performance level is identified in this box. There are four performance levels that describe the general skills and abilities for students who take the AzMERIT. Students who score in the "Proficient" or "Highly Proficient" range are likely to be ready for the next grade or course. Students who score in the "Partially Proficient" or "Minimally Proficient" range are likely to need support to be ready for the next grade or course.


For nectinfomation about AzHEar, go to ameritportalang.
(3) Scoring Categories

Each test has three or more scoring categories that describe the content in different parts of the test.
(4) Mastery Levels

Your student's ability level for each of these scoring categories is indicated. Students with the symbol + or show a good understanding of the content covered in this scoring category. Students with the symbol $\lfloor$ likely need more support with the content covered in this scoring category.
(5) What Was Assessed?

This short paragraph describes what type of content is covered in this scoring category.

6 What Do These Results Mean?
This short paragraph describes your student's understanding of the content in this scoring category based on his or her ability level.

For more information about your student's academic performance, contact your student's teacher or school.

## AZ Portal <br> . in azmeritportal.org <br> AzMERIT <br> Arizona's Statewide Achievement Assessment for English Language Arts and Mathematics

## Students \& Families

Educator \& Test Administrator Resources



Online Reporting System $\theta$


Administer Sample Tests

Test
Coordinators

Technology Resources

## Welcome to the Online Reporting System

What are you interested in viewing?

To view Participation Reports, click here:
Plan and Manage Testing

To view Score Reports, click here:

Home Page Dashboard
Select Test and Year
Test:
AzMERIT *
Administration: Spring $2015 *$

Click on a grade and subject to view more information.

## Home



Number of Students Tested and Percentage of Students Passing fic Spring 2015
EL A

| Grade | Studemts Tested Percent Passing |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade 3 | 78 | $37 \%$ |
| Grade 4 | 69 | $33 \%$ |
| Grade 5 | 58 | $28 \%$ |
| Grade 6 | 62 | $35 \%$ |
| Grade 7 | 69 | $28 \%$ |
| Grade 8 | 82 | $34 \%$ |
| Grade 9 | 116 | $42 \%$ |
| Grade 10 | 109 | $37 \%$ |
| Grade 11 | 51 | $67 \%$ |


| Grade | Number of <br> Students Tested | Percent <br> Passing |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade 3 | 79 | 34\% |
| Grade 4 | 71 | 25\% |
| Grade 5 | 59 | 49\% |
| Grade 6 | 62 | 26\% |
| Grade 7 | 70 | 31\% |
| Gracle 8 | 83 | 2496 |
| Algebra 1 | 106 | 449\% |
| Geometry | 24 | 13\% |
| Algebra II | 49 | 20\% |

## Scoring

## Will the scores on the new test be the same as AIMS?

AIMS had four score levels: Falls Far Below, Approaches, Meets, and Exceeds. Meets and Exceeds were passing scores.
The number of score levels for AzMERIT and what they will be called is still under discussion. We expect AzMERIT will have at least two passing score levels.

## What did passing the AIMS test mean?

The AIMS test was based on the old Arizona State Standards. Students who passed the AIMS test showed that they met a minimum expectation for that grade. A passing score on AIMS did not mean a student was on track for college or career upon graduation.

## What will passing AzMERIT mean?

AzMERIT is based on Arizona's College and Career Ready Standards. Students who pass AzMERIT will show that they meet a higher expectation for that grade. A passing score on AzMERIT will mean a student is on track for college or career upon graduation.

What impact will the new test have on student scores?

Raised expectations mean that there may be a decline in the number of students passing AzMERIT. A lower score on AzMERIT does not necessarily mean that a student is performing below average in school. It also does not mean that teachers are doing a poor job of teaching. It is more likely the result of higher expectations for a passing score.
As we saw with AIMS, we expect that the scores on AzMERIT will increase over time.

Will AzMERIT scores be comparable to scores in other states?

Yes. States measure achievement differently, so direct comparisons of test scores are not appropriate. AzMERIT does provide links to other tests to support comparisons of student achievement levels. Achievement levels on AzMERIT will be comparable with Utah, Florida, and states in the Smarter Balanced Consortium.

## Home Page Dashboard

Select Test and Year
Test:
AzMERIT *
Administration: Spring 2015 *

Click on a grade and subject to view more information.

## Number of Students Tested and Percentage of Students Passing fc Spring 2015 for Sedona-Oak Creek JusD \#9.

| ELA |
| :--- |
| Grade Number of <br> Students Tested Percent Passing <br> Grade 3 78 $37 \%$ <br> Grade 4 69 $33 \%$ <br> Grade 5 58 $28 \%$ <br> Grade 6 62 $35 \%$ <br> Grade 7 69 $28 \%$ <br> Grade 8 82 $34 \%$ <br> Grade 9 116 $42 \%$ <br> Grade 10 109 $37 \%$ <br> Grade 11 51 $67 \%$ |

## Math

| Grade | Number of <br> Students Tested | Percent <br> Passing |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade 3 | 79 | $34 \%$ |
| Grade 4 | 71 | $25 \%$ |
| Grade 5 | 59 | $49 \%$ |
| Grade 6 | 62 | $26 \%$ |
| Grade 7 | 70 | $31 \%$ |
| Grade 8 | 83 | $24 \%$ |
| Algebra I | 106 | $44 \%$ |
| Geometry | 24 | $13 \%$ |
| Algebra II | 49 | $20 \%$ |

## Subject Detail Report

How did my students perform overall in ELA?
Test: Grade 3 ELA
Year: Spring 2015
Name:Sedona-Oak Creek JUSD \#9

Legend: Performance Levels
$\square$ Minimally Proficient $\square$ Partially Proficient $\square$ Proficient $\square$ Highly Proficient

## Average Scale Score, Percent Passing, and Percentage in Each Performance Level AzMERIT ELA Grade 3 Test for Students in Sedona-Oak Creek JUSD \#9

```
Breakdown By: ALL * Comparison: ON
```

| Name | Student Count | Average Scale Score | Percent Passing | Percent in Each Performance Level |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Arizona | 86403 | 2501 | 40 | 44 16 29 |
| Sedona-Oak Creek JUSD \#9 (4467) | 78 | 2499 | 37 | 47-15 22 185 |
| Big Park Community School (4467 6084) | 45 | 2511 | 51 | $36 \quad 1324$ |
| West Sedona Elementary School (4467_6083) | 33 | 2482 | 18 | 64 18 18 |

## Subject Detail Report

How did my students perform overall in ELA?
Test: Grade 3 ELA
Year: Spring 2015
Name:Sedona-Oak Creek JUSD \#9


## Average Scale Score, Percent Passing, and Percentage in Each Performance Level AzMERIT ELA Grade 3 Test for Students in Sedona-Oak Creek JUSD \#9

| Breakdown By: | Ethnicity $\uparrow$ | Comparison: ON |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Name | $\wedge$ | Grouping | Student Count | Average Scale Score | Percent Passing | Percent in Each Performance Level |  |
|  | Arizona |  | ALL | 86403 | 2501 | 40 | 44 16 29 |  |
|  | Arizona |  | American Indian Alaska Native | 4870 | 2485 | 17 | $68 \quad 15152$ |  |
|  | Arizona |  | Asian | 2334 | 2518 | 65 | $\begin{array}{ll\|ll} 21 & 14 & 42 & 23 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |
|  | Arizona |  | Black - <br> African <br> American | 4352 | 2491 | 27 | 57 16 23 4 |  |
|  | Arizona |  | Hispanic or Latino | 38563 | 2492 | 28 | 55 17 23 5 |  |
|  | Arizona |  | Multi-Racial | 2433 | 2508 | 49 |  |  |
|  | Arizona |  | Unknown | 908 | 2492 | 29 | 55 16 23 6 |  |
|  | Arizona |  | White | 32943 | 2512 | 56 |  |  |

## Average Scale Score, Percent Passing, and Percentage in Each Performance Level

 AzMERIT ELA Grade 3 Test for Students in Sedona-Oak Creek JUSD \#9Breakdown By:
Ethnicity $\uparrow$ Comparison: ON

| Name | Grouping | Student Count | Average | Percent | Percent in Each Performance Level |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sedona-Oak Creek Q JUSD \#9 (4467) | ALL | 78 | 2499 | 37 | $47 \quad 15$ 22 15 |
| Sedona-Oak Creek JUSD \#9 (4467) | Asian | 2 | 2516 | 50 | 50.50 |
| Sedona-Oak Creek JUSD \#9 (4467) | Black - <br> African <br> American | 1 | 2462 | 0 | 100 |
| Sedona-Oak Creek JUSD \#9 (4467) | Hispanic or <br> Latino | 42 | 2486 | 21 | $62 \quad 17 / 175$ |
| Sedona-Oak Creek JUSD \#9 (4467) | Multi-Racial | 4 | 2526 | 75 | $25.25 \quad 50$ |
| Sedona-Oak Creek JUSD \#9 (4467) | Unknown | 1 | 2516 | 100 | 100 |
| Sedona-Oak Creek JUSD \#9 (4467) | White | 28 | 2515 | 54 | 32142502 |

Average Scale Score, Percent Passing, and Percentage in Each Performance Level AzMERIT ELA Grade 3 Test for Students in Sedona-Oak Creek JUSD \#9

Breakdown By: Ethnicity $\uparrow$ Comparison: ON


2015 Statewide AzMERIT/NCSC Passing and Proficiency Rates for All Students
Mathematics

| Test Level | \% Passing | \% Perfor Level 1 | \% Perfor Level 2 | \% Perfor Level 3 | \% Performance Level 4 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade 3 | 42 | 27 | 31 | 29 | 13 |  |
| Grade 4 | 42 | 29 | 29 | 32 | 10 |  |
| Grade 5 | 40 | 29 | 31 | 28 | 12 |  |
| Grade 6 | 33 | 37 | 30 | 22 | 11 |  |
| Grade 7 | 31 | 47 | 22 | 18 | 13 |  |
| Grade 8 | 34 | 41 | 25 | 20 | 14 |  |
| Algebra | 32 | 45 | 23 | 23 | 9 |  |
| Algebra Il | 30 | 46 | 25 | 23 | 7 |  |
| Geometry | 30 | 42 | 27 | 25 | 6 |  |

English Language Arts

| Test Level | \% Passing | \% Performance Level 1 | \% Performance Level 2 | \% Performance Level 3 | \% Performance Level 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade 3 | 40 | 43 | 16 | 30 | 11 |
| Grade 4 | 42 | 41 | 17 | 36 | 6 |
| Grade 5 | 32 | 36 | 31 | 28 | 4 |
| Grade 6 | 36 | 39 | 25 |  | 4 |
| Grade 7 | 33 | 42 | 25 | $29$ | 5 |
| Grade 8 | 35 | 39 | 26 |  | 8 |
| Grade 9 | 26 | 44 | 29 | 22 | 5 |
| Grade 10 | 32 | 47 | 21 | 22 | 10 |
| Grade 11 | 30 | 51 | 19 | $20$ |  |


| Sedona-Oak Creek AzMERIT-ELA |  |  | Average <br> Scale <br> Scores2015 | AZMerit <br> Passed <br> 2015 | AlMs Passed$2014$ | Minimally Proficient <br> 2015 | Partially Proficient <br> 2015 | Proficient | Highly Proficient$2015$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Location | \# Valid Tests 2015 | 皆 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| State | 86403 | 3 | 2501 | 40 | 78 | 44 | 16 | 29 | 10 |
| District | 78 | 3 | 2499 | 37 | 64 | 47 | 15 | 22 | 15 |
| Big Park | 45 | 3 | 2511 | 51 | 83 | 36 | 13 | 24 | 27 |
| West Sedona | 33 | 3 | 2482 | 18 | 40 | 64 | 18 | 18 | 0 |
| State | 85270 | 4 | 2514 | 41 | 75 | 42 | 17 | 36 | 5 |
| District | 69 | 4 | 2508 | 33 | 70 | 52 | 14 | 30 | 3 |
| Big Park | 40 | 4 | 2519 | 48 | 85 | 38 | 15 | 43 | 5 |
| West Sedona | 29 | 4 | 2493 | 14 | 58 | 72 | 14 | 14 | 0 |
| State | 84908 | 5 | 2528 | 32 | 80 | 37 | 31 | 28 | 4 |
| District | 58 | 5 | 2529 | 28 | 69 | 33 | 40 | 21 | 7 |
| Big Park | 26 | 5 | 2531 | 27 | 82 | 31 | 42 | 19 | 8 |
| West Sedona | 32 | 5 | 2527 | 28 | 57 | 34 | 38 | 22 | 6 |
| State | 84515 | 6 | 2541 | 36 | 80 | 39 | 25 | 32 | 4 |
| District | 62 | 6 | 2537 | 35 | 79 | 45 | 19 | 34 | 2 |
| Big Park | 28 | 6 | 2540 | 39 | 86 | 43 | 18 | 36 | 4 |
| West Sedona | 34 | 6 | 2535 | 32 | 75 | 47 | 21 | 32 | 0 |
| State | 82735 | 7 | 2547 | 33 | 86 | 42 | 25 | 28 | 5 |
| District | 69 | 7 | 25.44 | 28 | 90 | 49 | 23 | 23 | 4 |
| Big Park | 31 | 7 | 2552 | 39 | 91 | 39 | 23 | 32 | 6 |
| West Sedona | 38 | 7 | 2538 | 18 | 89 | 58 | 24 | 16 | 3 |
| State | 82933 | 8 | 2559 | 34 | 70 | 40 | 26 | 27 | 7 |
| District | 82 | 8 | 2558 | 34 | 72 | 45 | 21 | 30 | 4 |
| Big Park | 42 | 8 | 2561 | 38 | 74 | 43 | 19 | 33 | 5 |
| West Sedona | 40 | 8 | 2556 | 30 | 71 | 48 | 23 | 28 | 3 |
| State | 77211 | 9 | 2559 | 26 | * | 45 | 29 | 21 | 5 |
| District | 116 | 9 | 2568 | 42 | * | 25 | 33 | 34 | 9 |
| Red Rock Ac | 3 | 9 | 2547 | 0 | * | 67 | 33 | 0 | 0 |
| Red Rock HS | 113 | 9 | 2569 | 43 | * | 24 | 33 | 35 | 9 |
| State | 69008 | 10 | 2568 | 32 | *85 | 47 | 21 | 22 | 9 |
| District | 109 | 10 | 2572 | 37 | *88 | 46 | 17 | 21 | 16 |
| Red Rock Ac | 5 | 10 | 2548 | 0 | * | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Red Rock HS | 104 | 10 | 2573 | 38 | *93 | 43 | 18 | 22 | 16 |
| State | 57567 | 11 | 2569 | 30 | * | 51 | 19 | 19 | 10 |
| District | 51 | 11 | 2586 | 67 | * | 25 | 8 | 39 | 27 |
| Red Rock Ac | 4 | 11 | 2562 | 0 | * | 75 | 25 | 0 | 0 |
| Red Rack HS | 47 | 11 | 2688 | 72 | * | 21 | 6 | 43 | 30 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | * = Only 1 'Reading' test for AlMS |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Sedona-Oak Creek AzMERIT-Math |  |  | Average Scale Scores | AZMerit Passed | AIMS Passed | Minimally Proficient | Partially Proficient | Proficient | Highly Proficient |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Location | ty Valid Tests 2015 | 劳 | 2015 | 2015 | 2014 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | $\lambda^{2015}$ |
| State | 86874 | 3 | 3521 | 41 | 69 | 28 | 31 | 28 | 13 |
| District | 79 | 3 | 3519 | 34 | 44 | 24 | 42 | 27 | 8 |
| Big Park | 45 | 3 | 3531 | 44 | 74 | 9 | 47 | 31 | 13 |
| West Sedona | 34 | 3 | 3502 | 21 | 8 | 44 | 35 | 21 | 0 |
| State | 85750 | 4 | 3552 | 41 | 61 | 30 | 29 | 31 | 10 |
| District | 71 | 4 | 3539 | 25 | 49 | 41 | 34 | 17 | 8 |
| Big Park | 40 | 4 | 3553 | 38 | 54 | 25 | 38 | 23 | 15 |
| West Sedona | 31 | 4 | 3520 | 10 | 45 | 61 | 29 | 10 | 0 |
| State | 85201 | 5 | 3585 | 39 | 62 | 29 | 31 | 28 | 12 |
| District | 59 | 5 | 3590 | 49 | 55 | 19 | 32 | 36 | 14 |
| Big Park | 26 | 5 | 3599 | 62 | 65 | 12 | 27 | 38 | 23 |
| West Sedona | 33 | 5 | 3582 | 39 | 46 | 24 | 36 | 33 | 6 |
| State | 84813 | 6 | 3615 | 32 | 61 | 38 | 30 | 22 | 11 |
| District | 62 | 6 | 3612 | 26 | 51 | 50 | 24 | 10 | 16 |
| Big Park | 28 | 6 | 3617 | 32 | 64 | 39 | 29 | 14 | 18 |
| West Sedona | 34 | 6 | 3608 | 21 | 43 | 59 | 21 | 6 | 15 |
| State | 83506 | 7 | 3632 | 30 | 64 | 48 | 22 | 18 | 13 |
| District | 70 | 7 | 3633 | 31 | 62 | 49 | 20 | 19 | 13 |
| Big Park | 31 | 7 | 3654 | 55 | 72 | 16 | 29 | 29 | 26 |
| West Sedona | 39 | 7 | 3617 | 13 | 51 | 74 | 13 | 10 | 3 |
| State | 83302 | 8 | 3660 | 33 | 59 | 42 | 25 | 20 | 13 |
| District | 83 | 8 | 3655 | 24 | 59 | 46 | 30 | 19 | 5 |
| Big Park | 42 | 8 | 3667 | 40 | 77 | 31 | 29 | 31 | 10 |
| West Sedona | 41 | 8 | 3642 | 7 | 43 | 61 | 32 | 7 | 0 |
| State | 81983 | $\mathrm{Alg}_{1}$ | 3669 | 32 | * | 45 | 23 | 23 | 9 |
| District | 106 | Alg 1 | 3681 | 44 | * | 24 | 32 | 35 | 9 |
| Red Rock Ac. | 6 | $\mathrm{Alg}_{1} 1$ | 3632 | 0 | * | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Red Rock HS | 100 | Alg 1 | 3684 | 47 | * | 19 | 34 | 37 | 10 |
| State | 68122 | Geometry | 3682 | 30 | * | 43 | 27 | 24 | 6 |
| District | 24 | Geometry | 3676 | 13 | * | 42 | 46 | 13 | 0 |
| Red Rack Ac. | 3 | Geometry | 3652 | 0 | * | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Red Rock HS | 21 | Geometry | 3680 | 14 | * | 33 | 52 | 14 | 0 |
| State | 57253 | $\mathrm{Alg}_{2}$ | 3693 | 30 | *63 | 46 | 24 | 23 | 7 |
| District | 49 | Alg 2 | 3688 | 20 | *65 | 47 | 33 | 16 | 4 |
| Red Rack Ac. | 4 | Alg 2 | 3641 | 0 | * | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Red Rock HS | 45 | $\mathrm{Alg}_{2}$ | 3692 | 22 | * 70 | 42 | 36 | 18 | 4 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | * = Only 1 'Math' test for AlMS |  |  |  |  |  |

Finance / Technology Update

## New Computers / Tech Equipment at the schools

■ Each School received 40 new computers.

- Smart Board replacements
- Printers
- Forest Fee Management Association- new year funds \$102,000
- In combination with prior year forest fees dollars
- \$150,000 expended on needed technology upgrades


## Metro Optical Ethernet Project

■ Fiber installation at all sites.
■ Increased bandwidth

- \$62,000 one time installation charge- Erate Reimburse 70\%
- Century Link is covering the costs to trench the closest current Fiber to our site property lines.
- Century Link will provide additional \$13K per site for construction costs to bring Fiber from property line into school building.
- Any additional costs to run lines from road to school building, that exceed the $\$ 13 \mathrm{~K}$ per site will be charged to the District. Estimated - \$70K
- Requesting approval from the Governing Board to authorize using Bond proceeds from the 2009 issuance.

